

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 07/31/2002

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/497,552	02/03/2000	Guido Maurizio Oliva	3572-15	7648
75	90 07/31/2002			
Nixon & Vanderhye P C			EXAMINER	
1100 N Glebe R Arlington, VA			LESTER, E	VELYN A
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2873	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Ameliaantia	KL	
		Applicant(s)	<i>G.</i> 7	
Office Action Summary	09/497,552		OLIVA, GUIDO MAURIZIO	
1 Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
The MAILING DATE of this communication	Evelyn A. Lester	2873		
Period for Reply	on appears on the cover sneet w	ith the correspondence add	r ss	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR I THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communica - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day ' - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, b - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	TION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a tion. s, a reply within the statutory minimum of thir period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON y statute, cause the application to become Al	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this con BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nmunication.	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed of	on <u>06 May 2002</u> .			
'	☐ This action is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for	allowance except for formal ma	tters, prosecution as to the	merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice Disposition of Claims				
4) \boxtimes Claim(s) <u>1,2 and 4-36</u> is/are pending in	the application			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are w	• •			
5) Claim(s) <u>27 and 28</u> is/are allowed.	ithurawir from consideration.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,4,5,11-15,29 and 32-36</u> is/a	ire rejected			
7) Claim(s) 6-10 and 16-26 is/are objected	-			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction				
Application Papers	and/or crection requirement.			
9) The specification is objected to by the Ex	aminer.			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)] accepted or b) objected to by t	he Examiner.		
' Applicant may not request that any objectio	n to the drawing(s) be held in abey	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) approved b) c	disapproved by the Examine	r.	
If approved, corrected drawings are require	d in reply to this Office action.			
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by t	the Examiner.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			•	
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for t	foreign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).		
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:				
1. Certified copies of the priority docu	uments have been received.			
2. Certified copies of the priority docu	uments have been received in A	application No		
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the Internation * See the attached detailed Office action for 	nal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	•	itage [.]	
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for do	omestic priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(e) (to a provisional a	application).	
a) The translation of the foreign langua			,	
Attachment(s)	• •			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper I) -152). E R	
S. Patent and Trademark Office TO-326 (Rev. 04-01)	ffice Action Summary	PRIMARY EXAMINE	R aper No. 11	

Application/Control Number: 09/497,552 Page 2

Art Unit: 2873

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restriction-With or Without Traverse

1. Applicant's election of Group I in Paper No. 8 was acknowledged in paper No. 9.

Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)). Therefore, no correction to the Examiner's statement that the election was made without traverse is required.

Election/Restriction-Claim Rejoinder

2: Claim 1 is directed to an "allowable" product, with the exception of the obviousness-type double patenting rejection (note following paragraphs of this office action). Pursuant to the procedures set forth in the Official Gazette notice dated March 26, 1996 (1184 O.G. 86), claims 6-10. 16-28, 30 and 31, previously withdrawn from consideration as a result of a restriction requirement, are now subject to being rejoined. Claims 6-10, 16-28, 30 and 31 are hereby rejoined and fully examined for patentability under 37 CFR 1.104.

Since all claims previously withdrawn from consideration under 37 CFR 1.142 have been rejoined, the restriction requirement made in Paper No. 7 is hereby withdrawn.

Art Unit: 2873

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Page 3

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

6. Claims 32-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Plesko (U.S. patent 5,886,332).

Please note Figures 9, 10A and 10B and their accompanying text. Especially note text at column 7, lines 3-42.

Plesko discloses the claimed invention of an optical device for focusing a laser beam which comprises a focusing lens(2, 320) upon which the laser beam (from source S) is directed and a first mean for selection only a central portion of the laser beam wherein the first means is directly applied on the focusing lens. Further wherein the laser beam is substantially elliptical and astigmatic beam.

With respect to claim 34, Plesko's invention is adapted to obstruct the propagation of periphery portion by scattering.

Double Patenting

3. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine

Art Unit: 2873

grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321© may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

4. Claims 1, 2, 4, 5, 11-15, 29 and 32-36 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-36 of copending Application No. 09/773,384 (which is also published application number US 2002/0050517 A1, filed on February 1, 2001). Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the applications each claimed invention is only a merely variation of the other.

Each claimed invention recites an optical device, wherein a laser light beam is focused by a

Page 5

Art Unit: 2873

focusing lens and is itself the diaphragm (claim 1 of the instant invention and claim 13 of the other application's claimed invention), and wherein the central portion of the laser light beam propagates or is emitted, and further wherein the aperture has a Fresnel number less than 2. Clearly the boundary or scope of the claimed inventions are the same, with only obvious variations which would have been known to one of ordinary skill in the art.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 5. Claims 6-10, 16-26, 30 and 31 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 6. Claims 27 and 28 are allowed.
- 7. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

 The reasons for indicating allowable subject matter were given in paper no. 9.

Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1,2 and 4-36 have been considered but are

Art Unit: 2873

moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Further, the Applicant is respectfully reminded of the duty of disclosure as outlined, for example, in the MPEP 2001.06(b) and 2004, paragraphs 9 and 10.

Page 6

Conclusion

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to E.A. Lester whose telephone number is (703) 308-4943. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from about 9:30 am to 6 pm (subject to an extended flex

schedule).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Georgia Y. Epps, can be reached on (703) 308-4883. The fax number for Technology Center 2800 is (703) 308-7724.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Evelyn A. Lester Primary Examiner

AU 2873

July 28, 2002